DATE: April 4, 2016

TO: Clean Water Services Advisory Commission (CWAC) Members and Interested Parties

FROM: Mark Jockers, Government & Public Affairs Manager

SUBJECT: REMINDER OF AND INFORMATION FOR APRIL 13, 2016 MEETING

This is a reminder of the CWAC meeting scheduled for Wednesday, April 16 2016. The CWAC meeting packet will be mailed to Commission members by April 5. The Agenda will also be posted to Clean Water Services’ website by April 5 at CWAC section of our website.

Food will be served for CWAC members at 5:30 p.m. prior to the meeting.

Please call or send an email to Mark Jockers (JockersM@cleanwaterservices.org; 503 681-4450) if you are unable to attend so food is not ordered for you.

Enclosures in this packet include:

- Agenda for April 13, 2016 Meeting
- Leaf Program Memo
- February 10, 2016 Meeting Notes
Clean Water Services Advisory Commission  
April 13, 2016  

AGENDA  

6:30 p.m. Welcome  

6:35 p.m. Review/Approval of Meeting Notes of February 10, 2016  

6:40p.m. Re-nominate Budget Committee members  
At Commissioner Vial’s request, staff reviewed the qualifications for being nominated to serve on CWS’ Budget Committee. Since 2006, they District has been operating under the previous Budget Officer’s direction that citizen budget committee members must reside within the District’s boundaries. Upon further review, citizen Budget Committee nominees must be a CWAC member who resides in Washington County or resides within District territory.  

At the February 10, 2016 meeting, CWAC nominated Erin Poor and Tony Weller as candidates for the Board to consider for appointment. Given the updated nominee criteria, CWS is asking the Commission to re-open the nomination process and forward names to the Board for consideration. There are two open positions on the Budget Committee.  

The current CWAC Budget Committee members are Mike McKillip, Molly Brown and Loring Hennings. The Budget Committee is scheduled to meet on May 13, 2016.  

Requested action: Re-open Budget Committee nomination process and forward candidates for the Board for consideration.  

6:55 p.m. Leaf Program  
Since 1994, Clean Water Services has operated a fall leaf program to help address localized flooding problems in urban unincorporated Washington County. The program consists of two elements—a curbside pick-up program for heavily treed neighborhoods and a twice-yearly regional leaf drop off program.  

Staff will provide an overview of the program’s history and operations.  
  ● Ryan Sandhu, Field Operations Division Manager  

Requested action: Informational
7:40 p.m.  **Reimbursement District Update**

CWAC was instrumental in evaluating and providing input on the development of the Regional Stormwater Management Charge (RSMC) adopted by the Board in December 2013. As part of the RSMC process, the Board directed the District to develop an additional ordinance for forming Reimbursement Districts. A Reimbursement District is a mechanism for developers or CWS who install necessary infrastructure improvements which benefit other nearby property owners to recoup their investments when other properties develop.

The Reimbursement District ordinance was adopted by the Board in February 2014 and it is in need of review and updating.

At the February 10, 2016 meeting, CWAC asked staff to meet with developer and homebuilder representatives and identify possible revision points. Staff met with stakeholders on March 17 and has second meeting scheduled for April 5.

District staff will provide a report on the task force meetings for discussion by the Commission.

- Andy Braun, Engineering Services Division Manager

*Requested action: Project update*

8:00 p.m.  **Announcements**

8:10 p.m.  **Adjourn**

**Next Meeting:** May 11, 2016
Date: March 30, 2016

To: Clean Water Services Advisory Commission

From: Ryan Sandhu, Field Operations Division Manager

Subject: Leaf Program Summary

Every fall since 1994 Clean Water Services has geared up for its Leaf Program. The program was started to decrease flooding problems created by leaf-clogged storm drains in unincorporated urban Washington County. It also helps keep nutrients from decaying leaf debris out of local creeks, wetlands, and the Tualatin River.

There are two components to the Leaf Program:

1. Curbside Leaf Pick-up Program: The Curbside program targets neighborhoods with the greatest leaf debris which would otherwise result in localized flooding calls. The curbside program serves approximately 1/4 of the curbed street inventory within unincorporated urban Washington County. A brochure with instructions and a pick up schedule is sent out each fall to the customers receiving the service.

2. Leaf Drop Off Program: The drop-off program is held on two Fall Saturdays a year with two locations available each day (Aloha High School and Home Depot off Murray Blvd). The schedule is coordinated with neighboring cities so that a leaf drop off location is available almost every weekend from mid-November to mid-December.

Every year Clean Water Services collects approximately 6000 to 7000 cubic yards of leaves, which equates to about 600 to 700 dump truck loads. The leaves are taken to West Union Gardens off of Cornelius Pass to be spread on agriculture land as a soil amendment in the spring.
Clean Water Services
Clean Water Advisory Commission
Meeting Notes
February 10, 2016

Attendance

The meeting was attended by Commission Chair Tony Weller (Builder/Developer), Commission Vice Chair Mike McKillip (District 3-Rogers), and Commission members Molly Brown (District 2-Malinowski), Alan DeHarpport (Builder/Developer), Lori Hennings (Environmental), Erin Holmes (Environmental), Art Larrance (At-Large-Duyck), Erin Poor (District 1-Schouten), Richard Vial (District 4-Terry), David Waffle (Cities), and Clean Water Services District General Manager Bill Gaffi.

Commission members John Jackson (Agriculture), Judy Olsen (Agriculture), and Stephanie Shanley (Business) were absent.

The meeting was also attended by Nacia Bonilla (Metropolitan Land Group) and Kristan VanDomelen (Northwest Stormwater Compliance).

Attending from Clean Water Services were Bob Baumgartner (Regulatory Affairs Department Assistant Director), Andy Braun (Engineering Services Division Manager), Nora Curtis (Conveyance Department Director), Karen DeBaker (Communications Supervisor), Bob Falconer (Clean Water GROW Community Outreach Manager), Mark Jockers (Government and Public Affairs Manager), Mac Martin (Water Resource Analyst ), Mark Poling (Business Services Director), and Diane Taniguchi-Dennis (Deputy General Manager).

1. Call to Order
The meeting was called to order by Mr. Weller at 6:30 PM in the conference room at the Clean Water Services Administration Building.

2. Review of Meeting Notes from November 18, 2015
There were no comments regarding the Meeting Notes from November 18, 2015.

3. Election of Chair and Vice Chair
Mr. DeHarpport moved to nominate Tony Weller and Mike McKillip as Chair and Vice Chair, respectively. Mr. Vial moved to close the nominations. Mr. Weller and Mr. McKillip were elected by voice vote, with none opposed.

4. Confirmation/Recommendation of Budget Committee Members
Mr. Jockers reviewed the composition, responsibilities, and process of the Clean Water Services Budget Committee. Mr. Poling noted that the Budget Committee will meet May 11, 12, or 13, not May 6.
Staff will take to the Board of Directors the Commission’s recommendation to confirm the existing appointments of Ms. Brown, Ms. Hennings, and Mr. McKillip, re-appoint Mr. Weller, and appoint Ms. Poor to the Budget Committee. Mr. Jockers will note Ms. Holmes’ interest in filling the next vacancy.

Mr. Vial asked about the residency requirement for serving on the Budget Committee. Mr. Poling will send an explanation of the applicable Oregon law for special service districts to Commission members.

5. Budget Overview
Mr. Poling reviewed the budget in the context of the Clean Water Services mission to protect and enhance public health, watershed health, and resource recovery (presentation attached).

The wastewater industry is capital-intensive, but through sound financial policies and innovative approaches to technology and regulatory policies—including a variety of partnerships with other agencies and organizations—Clean Water Services has kept rates relatively low while providing environmental benefits throughout the entire watershed. Clean Water Services rates are currently the lowest of comparable municipalities in the area. Clean Water Services is also meeting stricter regulations with more programs and services for a larger population with a staff that is 30% smaller than 20 years ago.

More than 90% of Clean Water Services funding comes from rate payments (82%) and systems development charges (11%). Total estimated revenue for 2015-16 is $152 million. The 2015-16 capital expenditures budget is $66 million, nearly equal to the operating expenses budget.

Clean Water Services staff and Board of Directors maintain a 10-year financial plan. Financial strategies adopted by the Board of Directors about 10 years ago include:

1. Keeping rate increases small and predictable
   a. Over the past decade, annual rate increases for sewer and stormwater combined have averaged just over 4%.
   b. For the next decade, projected annual combined rate increase is 3.5%.

2. Building financial capacity to issue debt (if needed) on favorable terms
   a. Clean Water Services bond rating was upgraded last year by Standard & Poor from AA to AA+.

3. Maintaining good financial reserves
   a. By the end of this fiscal year, Clean Water Services is projected to have enough unrestricted reserves to cover one year of operating expenses.

The development process for the 2016-17 budget is just beginning in preparation for the Budget Committee meeting in May, so no specific details are available yet.

6. Clean Water GROW
Mr. Martin, Ms. DeBaker, and Mr. Falconer shared information about Clean Water GROW (presentation attached), a “stream-friendly” plant food product made with phosphorus recovered...
from Clean Water Services wastewater treatment facilities. Removing phosphorus from wastewater meets regulatory requirements for reducing water pollution. It also reduces operation and maintenance expenses at the treatment facilities, as phosphorous combines with other elements to build up and eventually block pipes.

Potassium and slow-release nitrogen are added to the phosphorous to make Clean Water GROW, which has been available since 2013. It is sold for residential or small-scale commercial use and is currently available at about 40 stores in the Portland metro area, including Washington County and Vancouver, WA. A 2.5-pound bag costs about $14. About 700 bags of Clean Water GROW was sold in each of the past two years. While the goal is to sell enough of the product to make it self-supporting, the larger vision is to use it as a vehicle for public education about watershed health and resource recovery.

There are other “slow-release” fertilizer products that are similarly priced, but they are water-soluble and subject to leaching and runoff with irrigation or rainfall. GROW is activated by the plant root, a major economic and environmental advantage. The product is particularly well-suited for use in containers—it can be blended with the potting medium and will release the nutrients directly to the roots as the plant “asks” for them throughout the growing season, up to 200 days.

Marketing efforts so far have been no-to-low-cost, including public service announcements on radio and TV, sewer billing inserts, info tables at stores, fairs, and farmers markets, community group presentations, and a Facebook page. A program for nonprofit groups, such as garden clubs, to sell GROW as a fundraiser has been established. Marketing efforts are being stepped up this spring, with a small “mass market” media buy planned for March-April-May. The target audience is the higher education, higher income segment of the population with an interest in “organic,” “green,” and “sustainable” practices, and interest in gardening/outdoors.

The phosphorous used in Clean Water GROW is only a small fraction of the total amount that is recovered. Nearly all of the recovered phosphorous is sold to Ostara, the Canadian company which developed the process and equipment, to make its own fertilizer product for large-scale agricultural customers. Last year 450 tons of phosphorous was recovered and the projection for this year is 600 tons.

Samples of Clean Water GROW were provided to meeting participants.

Questions and additional comments on this agenda item are listed in the Appendix.

7. Reimbursement District Update
Mr. Braun reviewed that a reimbursement district is a mechanism that allows a developer or Clean Water Services to be reimbursed for capital expenses of an infrastructure project when it benefits other properties beyond the specific one being developed. Clean Water Services Ordinance 41 allows for reimbursement districts for stormwater and sanitary sewer projects. The existing ordinance needs some revision, as there are two upcoming projects in the North Bethany area which do not fit the parameters, and there are potential similar situations in several other developing areas, such as South Hillsboro and South Cooper Mountain.
Mr. Braun noted that the current Ordinance 41 was crafted with input from the Commission, along with representatives from the development and homebuilding communities. He would like the Commission’s assistance in updating the ordinance. Construction on the two North Bethany projects is scheduled for this summer, so a recommendation should be brought to the Board of Directors in April or May.

Staff and Commission members discussed several options for the revision process. Because this work involves a revision, not an entirely new ordinance, and because it will apply only to a few parties in unique circumstances, the group decided that staff should first meet with developer and homebuilder representatives. Commission members will be advised of the meeting(s) and will be welcome to attend. Staff will draft possible revision points from those discussions and distribute them to Commission members for review prior to the March and/or April meeting(s).

Mr. Braun noted that Ms. Brown, Mr. DeHarpport, Mr. McKillip, and Mr. Weller all served on the committee which drafted the existing ordinance, providing a solid knowledge base for Commission meeting discussions.

Ms. Curtis pointed out that some cities also have reimbursement district rules in place. The revised Ordinance 41 would not replace those, but could be used by the cities if they chose.

Mr. Vial asked if reimbursement districts are used when a LID (Local Improvement District) is not possible. Mr. Braun said a LID and a reimbursement district can sometimes fit the same situation, but a reimbursement district is a more streamlined process in that it can be formed by the Board over the objections of benefitting properties because it does not result in an assessment against the property. Mr. Weller observed that a LID in such situations as North Bethany could result in the benefitting properties paying assessments before they had use of the improvements built under the LID.

8. Announcements
Ms. Curtis noted that Carrie Pak, who has shared information with Commission members at many meetings, recently left her position as Engineering Services Division Manager. After 10 years with Clean Water Services, Ms. Pak is now Chief Engineer for the Tualatin Valley Water District.

Mr. Jockers offered an update on the Water Supply Project, an agenda item at the last Commission meeting. The 2016 Omnibus Spending bill passed by Congress and signed in December accomplished both of Clean Water Services top two legislative priorities: Reclamation’s Safety of Dams program was reauthorized with a new cost ceiling to allow the agency to go forward with repairs such as seismic upgrades, and Reclamation is now authorized to work with non-Federal partners to pursue dam safety projects concurrently with other beneficial projects such as storage capacity. With passage of this bill, increasing the capacity of Hagg Lake with a dam at current seismic standards has gone from an “if” project to a “when” project. Work on the EIS (Environmental Impact Statement) will likely begin in 2017. The downstream dam option, which was presented at the last meeting, remains under study as a viable alternative to raising/rebuilding the existing Scoggins Dam.
Mr. DeHarport asked about any properties already purchased by Clean Water Services in anticipation of raising Scoggins Dam. Mr. Gaffi said there are two such parcels. One would probably be sold if the downstream dam option is selected. The other has value as elk habitat and would likely be kept in either case. Regardless of the dam option chosen, affected landowners will have to be compensated. The downstream dam option involves 28 homes and the Stimson lumber mill, but could still cost $100 million less than raising/upgrading the existing dam.

Mr. Jockers also noted that Oregon Public Broadcasting’s *Oregon Field Guide* show will feature the Trees for All program on Thursday, February 25 at 8:30 PM.

The next meeting of the Commission is scheduled for Wednesday, March 9.

9. **Adjournment**
The meeting was adjourned by Mr. Weller at 8:20 PM.

*(Meeting notes prepared by Sue Baumgartner)*
Questions and comments regarding Clean Water GROW:

1. Why would people buy this product instead of some other fertilizer?
   a. It is sustainable (wastewater is readily available and must be treated anyway) and locally-produced (not taken from a mine in Florida and then shipped across the country), slow-release (“one and done” application per growing season), and root-activated (not water-soluble), so it goes directly to the plant when it needs it instead of leaching into the soil and running off with irrigation or rainfall.

2. What would happen to all that recovered phosphorous if it didn’t go to Ostara?
   a. It would be used for land applications as biosolids ag fertilizer, some locally and some in the Arlington, OR area.
   b. It would not be removed as early in the treatment plant process and would build up in pipes, causing inefficient operation and expensive maintenance.
   c. Ostara is the Canadian company which developed the phosphorous removal process and equipment. Ostara buys nearly all the recovered phosphorous from Clean Water Services to make its own fertilizer product for large-scale agricultural customers.

3. What is the break-even point for sales of GROW?
   a. Sales would need to be at 7,800 2.5-pound bags to break even, hence the emerging emphasis on marketing.
   b. Clean Water Services spends a lot of money removing the quick-release, water-soluble nutrients that are found in other fertilizers, so the Board of Directors recognizes the value of GROW as a public education tool and is prepared to invest some time and money from that perspective.

4. What is the media buy budget?
   a. About $7,500 will be spent.
   b. When publicizing information, Clean Water Services uses a combination of paid media, earned media (contacting outlets to encourage print articles and broadcast stories), and self-managed grassroots efforts (presentations or info distribution by staff or volunteers at various community events and meetings).
   c. The ads and messages developed for the media buy can be used to support other marketing efforts for several years.
   d. By stepping into “mass marketing” for the product, more customers can be reached and then educated about the larger messages.

5. Is GROW sold in sizes other than 2.5-pound bags?
   a. It is available direct from Mr. Martin at Clean Water Services in 25-pound and 50-pound bags.
6. What about sending a sample in billing notices?
   a. Small sample bags are available and are distributed at events.
   b. Mailers/mailing turned out to be too expensive, but info flyers and a coupon have been included with bills.
   c. New customer kits include samples, and participants in treatment plant tours (about 2,000 people annually) receive a sample package.

7. With so much interest in native plants, backyard birds, pollinators, etc., partnerships with groups like Audubon might be appropriate.
   a. Clean Water Services provided 500 samples of GROW last year to be distributed as part of the backyard habitat certification program.

8. Would this product be beneficial to grape growers?
   a. This has been explored but grapes actually do better in “poor” soil
   b. Golf courses have also been suggested, except that grass requires little phosphorous.

9. Emphasize the idea that this product might be the most expensive per pound, but if you need less of it and/or it lasts longer than less expensive products then it is cost-effective, even without considering the environmental benefits.