

Clean Water Services
 Development Liaison Committee Issues List
 Updated: September 29, 2008

Complete	Current	Pending
----------	---------	---------

	Topic/issue	Description	Status
1	Plat Review Process by: Bill McMonagle (12/3/07)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Process is too lengthy ▪ Suggest that CWS review in parallel with County 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Process modified January 2008. Flow chart being reviewed. Proposed implementation 2/11/08
2	Access Permit (Road Opening/Street Cut Permit) By: Bill McMonagle (12/3/07)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CWS should forward for Access Permit issuance upon receiving plans for first review ▪ Presently, CWS waits until plans are “approvable” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discussed during 2/7/08 & 3/6/08 meetings. County is revising process to identify conditions earlier and to send permit to both applicant and engineer ▪ Additional issues should be discussed at County DLC meetings
3	Facility Permit By: Bill McMonagle (12/3/07)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CWS should not wait for Facility Permit to be issued prior to CWS permit being issued 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discussed 2/7/08 & 3/6/08 ▪ Clarified: CWS does not wait for Cty facility permit ▪ Additional issues with scope and timing of Facility permit should be discussed at County DLC meetings.
4	Early EC Permit By: Bill McMonagle (1/4/08)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Review plans with respect to pipe cover, material, SPL conditions, etc. and if no significant issues are identified, issue an Early EC Permit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discussed 1/10/08, 2/7/08, 3/6/08 ▪ Clarified existing criteria for Early EC, as listed in D&C stds ▪ No changes proposed at this time.

	Topic/issue	Description	Status
5	Construction Plans for sewer laterals from existing sewer main By: Bill McMonagle (12/3/07)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CWS should not require construction plans for these situations ▪ Operations permit to open the existing roads is all it should need 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discussed 4/3/08 ▪ Clarified: Engineering plans are <u>not</u> reqd in these situations. Only the locations of tap and/or stub are required.(See mtg notes for detail) ▪ No changes proposed at this time.
6	Code clarification: SPL Criteria By: DLC (12/6/07)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ District needs to interpret SPL requirements more consistently 	Waiting for specific issues list
7	Code clarification: Submittal Completeness By: DLC (12/6/07)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ District has been too picky about what's being considered as complete. All plans should go into review queue as received regardless of completeness assessment.(value of completeness assessment) 	Completeness check increases plan review efficiency. No changes proposed at this time.
8	Code clarification: Drainage Way Definition By: DLC (12/6/07)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Drainage way that requires easements should be better defined. ▪ Off-site vegetated corridor area should not need easements as the area cannot be developed 	
9	Conditions of approval for Low Impact Development Approach By: CWS (12/6/07)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Who will provide oversight of various LIDAs that are required in the COAs? ▪ How will Building Officials from the County interact with approved development plans? ▪ When can the development be considered substantially complete (and therefore start maintenance period)? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Scheduled to be discussed on 10/5/08 meeting

	Topic/issue	Description	Status
10	1200C EC Template for linear projects By: Tony Weller (12/6/07)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CWS should provide 1200C EC template for linear projects 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discussed during 4/3/08 meeting. ▪ Limited comments from other agencies have been received to date. ▪ Template available via CWS website.
11	Proprietary Treatment Systems By: Ken Gertz	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ PTS should be allowed everywhere ▪ Process for PTS approval needs to be developed 	Study currently underway to evaluate maintenance costs.
12	Walls/Encroachment Agreements By: CWS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Walls are being constructed within CWS easements w/o review/permits/encroachment agreements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discussed during 5/1/08 meeting. Limited Development Review form will be effective immediately.
13	Demolition/Disconnection Permits By: CWS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Intent of demo and disconnection permits is being mis-used. ▪ Several issues pertaining to demo/disconnection permits ▪ Confusion about what permits are required from CWS and County to demo a structure ▪ What work is allowed under a demo permit? ▪ Why do both agencies require these permits? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discussed during 2/7/08 meeting. More intense public education efforts were identified as a need. ▪ Follow-up discussion on 5/1/08 meeting. Limited Development Review “Green Sheet” was adopted.
14	Development Services Fees By: CWS (2/7/08)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Development related services fees have not kept up with the department expenditures. ▪ The District is proposing changes on structure and amount to recover costs more equitably 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discussed during 3/6/08 and 4/3/08 meetings ▪ Scheduled for adoption on 6/3/08.
15	Accepting Payment using Credit Cards By: CWS (2/7/08)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ There are costs associated with using credit cards to pay for various fees. ▪ Does the development community want to explore this option? If so, is there a threshold at which point additional costs 	

	Topic/issue	Description	Status
16	Downstream Analysis By: CWS (5/1/08)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Clarification on downstream analysis requirements. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discussed during 9/11/08 meeting.
17	PUEs By: Tony Weller (9/11/08)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Are PUEs allowed within water quality/Vegetated Corridor tracts? Under what circumstances? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Scheduled to be discussed on 10/5/08 meeting.